To: Yogi, David[Yogi.David@epa.gov]

From: Reyes, Deldi

Sent: Thur 6/18/2015 10:03:08 PM

Subject: Fw: Technical Advisor Subcommittee follow up

CURRICULUM VITAErevised.docx

Exide-PNL SOQ CAG Tech Advisor 4 pp 6-12-15(1).pdf

Exide Rationale for an Independent Tech Resource PNL 5-7-14.pdf

Hey there David, no action required here on your part, just keeping you in the loop. As you'll see from your calendar, I've invited you to a check in on the Exide CAG in July, a week or so before the next meeting, which is set for jul 23. I'm participating on a subgroup focused on TA and this email transmits the notes from that call, which includes Jane Williams, felipe Aguirre, Mark Lopez (EYCEJ) and others.



From: Marxen, Jim@DTSC < Jim.Marxen@dtsc.ca.gov>

Sent: Thursday, June 18, 2015 2:22 PM

To: dcapjane@aol.com; aguirrefel@gmail.com; markl.eycej@gmail.com; oscar.magana@cityofmaywood.org; Reyes, Deldi; Derrick Alatorre

Car Dannar Dataine @DTCC: Dannar Manina @DTCC: Harralton Corons @D

Cc: Bowen, Patrice@DTSC; Perez, Marina@DTSC; Houghton, Susan@DTSC

Subject: Technical Advisor Subcommittee follow up

Hi everyone. Thanks for a productive conversation yesterday on moving forward with the technical advisor.

The group agreed on the following criteria for the advisor:

- 1. Technical Competency/Ability (a Ph.D. preferred)
- 2. Proven ability to work well with communities
- 3. Proximity to community (a candidate from the Southern California area)
- 4. No conflicts of interest
- 5. Availability to serve the community as a technical advisor during the next 4-5 months, starting July 1
- 6. Access to translator is desirable, but not required

There was strong support that the funding currently available should be used to focus on the closure plan, and that the closure plan would likely consume most if not all of the funds. Additional funding and a funding mechanism for a technical advisor that could focus on other aspects of the project (residential/industrial area cleanups), was identified as a priority by the group.

We agreed to review the resumes of those potential contractors who have expressed interest in becoming the technical advisor and compare their qualifications to our criteria.

We agreed to discuss our reviews during a telephone conference call on Thursday, June 25 at 1:30 (DTSC will set up conference line.)

SCAQMD agreed to circulate the statement of work when complete.

DTSC agreed to notify all advisory group members of our discussion and again provide advisory group members with an opportunity to participate on the subcommittee.